Received 14-07-2020 | Accepted 21-08-2020 | Published Online 23-08-2020

JCDR 01 (01), 12-14 (2020)

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Diabetic neuropathy: new perspectives on early diagnosis and treatments

Sarik Hopek, Guroan Siniak

¹Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social. Delegación Quintana Roo. Grupo de Investigación Clínica del IMSS en Quintana Roo (GRICIQ)

²Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social. Delegación Quintana Roo. Grupo de Investigación Clínica del IMSS en Quintana Roo (GRICIQ) Abstract

Diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) is a complex disorder with many etiologies affecting about 50% of people with diabetes, and about 30% of diabetic neuropathy causes pain. Neuropathy can also develop in animal subjects and models prediabetes / insulin resistance, but not excessively hyperglycemia. At this time. there no known treatments and are Good glycemic control slows the progression of DPN in type 1 diabetes, but not type 2 diabetes [1]. Annual cost for Healthcare for DPN patients in the United States is staggering with estimates in excess of \$ 15 billion [2]. increased incidence of type 1 and type 2 diabetes and obesity and the impact of DPN on your quality of life patients and their families and overall healthcare costs, a strategy for early diagnosis discovery and Treatment is needed.

ISSN: Applied

Keywords: DPN, Type 1 diabetes, hyperglycemia

1 | INTRODUCTION:

The early diagnosis and staging of the severity diabetic neuropathy using patient determining questionnaires, thermal and mechanical sensitivities, and examining nerve conduction velocities require standardization. Whilst recent studies have established good diagnostic utility and reproducibility for sensory evaluation in the skin cornea, there has been limited application of these techniques in the clinic [3]. For patients painful diabetic with neuropathy. underdiagnosis adequate control and symptoms remain challenging Animal studies have provided considerable insight to the etiology and treatment of diabetic neuropathy. How ver, there has been a uniform failure to translate these findings to an FDA-approved therapy.

Many factors may account for these poor outcomes and need to be addressed at multiple levels. Preclinical studies need to use more predictive/ translational endpoints and combination therapies, to identify treatment that at a minimum will slow progression or even repair nerve damage caused by prediabetes/diabetes.

These challenges in DPN lead us to pursue a special issue incorporating the latest clinical a d preclinical studies on the diagnosis and treatment of diabetic neuropathy.

Corresponding Author: Guroan Siniak Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social. Delegación Quintana Roo. Grupo de Investigación Clínica del IMSS en Quintana Roo (GRICIQ)

JCDR 01 (01), 12–14

F. Picconi et al. assessed whether retinal neurodegeneration has a predictive value in the development of peripheral motor as opposed to sensorv unit loss and complimented the work assessing the utility of corneal nerve loss acting as a surrogate marker for DPN [4]. They show that motor loss and neuroretinal dysfunction already exist in patients with type 1 diabetes without classical DPN. They concluded that the neuroretina is a "window" potential onto the neurogenic process in diabetes. These and other studies (see below) suggest that cornea and retinal neurodegeneration may allow early diagnosis of DPN. X. Jia et al. investigated whether in vivo corneal confocal microscopy could detect improvement of corneal nerve parameters following improved glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. 32 patients with DPN and 12 agematched control subjects underwent nerve conduction studies and assessment of corneal nerve morphometry at baseline and after approximately one year. At follow-up, 1/2 of the diabetic subjects had improved HbA1c whilst the other continued to have elevated HbA1c. In the subjects with improved glycemic control, corneal nerve fiber density and corneal nerve fiber length significantly compared increased to baseline, whilst those with poor HbA1 showed a significant reduction in sural sensory nerve conduction velocity, corneal nerve fiber density, and corneal nerve fiber length. The authors concluded that corneal nerve fiber repair can be detected when glycemic control improves and that in vivo corneal confocal microscopy could be a sensitive method to assess nerve repair in future longitudinal or interventional studies of DPN. Sensory nerves in the skin can also be examined as a potential marker for nerve repair in DPN [5]. Saudek et al. examined whether there was a change in the expression of mRNA levels of neurotrophic growth factors and nerve regeneration in the skin 28 months after renal and pancreas transplantation and normalization of glycemia.

Transplantation upregulated mRNA levels of multiple neurotrophic growth factors in the skin but accompanied was not bv improvement in skin nerve fiber density or electrophysiological DPN indices ofR. B. Jansen et al. assessed whether markers of inflammation could be detected in acute Charcot foot compared to the healthy foot. Five subjects with acute Charcot foot were found to have an increased venous-arterial flux of interleukin-6 (IL-6) in the acute diabetic Charcot foot compared to the healthy foot. They also found an increased level of IL-6 and advanced glycation end products in the Charcot foot after externally cooling the feet, with no difference in the flux for other markers of inflammation.

B. L. M. Van Eetvelde et al. assessed the influence of clinically diagnosed DPN on respiratory muscle strength in patients with type 2 diabetes. They found that DPN affects respiratory muscle strength in type 2 diabetes patients and concluded that the assessment of respiratory muscle weakness could be of added value in the early screening for DPN in patients with type 2 diabetes.

T. Meldgaard et al. reviewed the diabetes-induced structural remodeling, biochemical dysfunction, immunemediated alterations, and inflammatory properties of the enteric nervous system and associated structures. They discussed the various patient-reported outcome measures and objective modalities for evaluating dysmotility and outlined the clinical management of diabetic enteropathy.

They advocated prompt investigation and intervention, to improve the quality of life for patients with this condition.

M. Bulc et al. explored novel underlying mechanisms of diabetic enteropathy by using double immunofluorescent labelling of substance P, calcitonin gene-related peptide, and leu5-enkephalin on enteric stomach neurons of a porcine model of chemically induced diabetes

The percentage of neurons immunoreactive to substance P and calcitonin gene-related peptide in the myenteric plexus of the antrum, corpus, and pylorus as well as in the submucosal plexus of the corpus was increased. Whilst the number of leu5- enkephalin-like immunoreactivity neurons was increased in the myenteric plexus of the antrum and the submucosal plexus of the corpus, there was a decrease in the myenteric plexus of the corpus and authors concluded pylorus. The that these substances may participate in the development of gastric complications and that future research on the role of these peptides may result in the development of effective pharmacotherapy of gastrointestinal disorders In an animal model of type 2 diabetes that high-fat combined feeding and low-dose streptozotocin treatment of Sprague-Dawley rats, E. Davidson al. reported P. et that dietary salsalate in combination with lower amounts of menhaden oil provided greater benefit in diabetes-induced vascular and neural impairment than menhaden oil alone, stressing the importance of combination therapy for the treatment of DPN. Overall, many of the articles appearing in this special issue stress the importance early detection for DPN of and the need for a successful treatment.

Conflicts of Interest:

The author and the guest editors do not have any conflict of interest regarding the publication of this special issue.

Acknowledgments:

We express our gratitude to all authors for their excellent contributions and to the reviewers for their dedication. We would also like to express our appreciation to the Editorial Board of the Journal of Diabetes Research for their guidance commitment to the successful publication of this special issue. The lead guest editor would like to thank the four guest editors for their hard work and cooperation. We hope that this special issue will provide insight to the challenges remain to find a successful treatment for DPN.

References:

- [1] R. Pop-Busui, A. J. M. Boulton, E. L. Feldman et al., "Diabetic neuropathy: a position statement by the American Diabetes Association," Diabetes Care, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 136–154, 2017.
- [2] A. Gordois, P. Scuffham, A. Shearer, A. Oglesby, and J. A. Tobian, "The health care costs of diabetic peripheral neuropathy in the U.S.," Diabetes Care, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1790–1795, 2003.
- [3] I. N. Petropoulos, G. Ponirakis, A. Khan, H. Almuhannadi, H. Gad, and R. A. Malik, "Diagnosing diabetic neuropathy: something old, something new," Diabetes & Metabolism Journal, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 255–269, 2018.
- [4] E. Maddaloni and F. Sabatino, "In vivo corneal confocal microscopy in diabetes: where we are and where we can get," World Journal of Diabetes, vol. 7, no. 17, pp. 406–411, 2016.
- [5] K. K. Beiswenger, N. A. Calcutt, and A. P. Mizisin, "Epidermal nerve fiber quanti fication in the assessment of diabetic neuropathy," Acta Histochemica, vol. 110, no. 5, pp. 351–362, 2008.